# CyBOK: Privacy and Online Rights Knowledge Area

Tariq Elahi

Cyber Security, Privacy, and Trust Group School of Informatics – University of Edinburgh

# **CyBOK**

© Crown Copyright, The National Cyber Security Centre 2021. This information is licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. To view this licence, visit <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u>.

When you use this information under the Open Government Licence, you should include the following attribution: CyBOK Privacy & Online Rights Knowledge Area Issue 1.0 © Crown Copyright, The National Cyber Security Centre 2021, licensed under the Open Government Licence <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u>.

The CyBOK project would like to understand how the CyBOK is being used and its uptake. The project would like organisations using, or intending to use, CyBOK for the purposes of education, training, course development, professional development etc. to contact it at <u>contact@cybok.org</u> to let the project know how they are using CyBOK.



#### Overview

- Motivate Online Privacy
- Lenses on Privacy: Considering the dimensions
- Data Privacy
- Meta-data Privacy

# What is the problem?







## What is the problem?

- Data Sharing
  - Filling out a Health questionnaire at the doctor's office
  - Revealing the location and time of your awesome house party to your close friends
  - Contextual: the same information in another setting may be privacy leak
    - Likely aware of the extent and parties involved

# **CyBOK**

# What is the problem?

- Data Collection
  - As a consequence of activity or interaction
  - Browsing at a coffee shop
    - MAC address recorded for profile building on store visits
    - DNS information about website visits
    - Paid for coffee or snacks (name and financial information)

#### • Likely **unaware** or uninformed about extent or parties involved



**Free Wi-Fi for everyone.** Now at Starbucks.



## What is Privacy?

- About People
  - Identifying information leading to a natural person
    - Linking people to their
      - beliefs,
      - circumstances,
      - associations,
      - behaviours,
      - and more

# **CyBOK**

# Defining Privacy

• Privacy as a human right

"No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation" -Article 12, UN Declaration of Universal Human Rights.

- More articulations:
  - "Information self-determination"
  - "the right to be let alone"
  - "the freedom from unreasonable constraints on the construction of one's own identity"

#### Privacy as...



- Transparency
- Control
- Confidentiality





**CyBOK** 

## Privacy as Transparency

#### • Inform

- what is collected and
- For what purposes
- By whom
- For how long
- and so on
- Privacy policies
- Transparency reports

# **CyBOK**

#### **Apple Privacy Policy**

Updated December 14, 2020

Apple's Privacy Policy describes how Apple collects, uses, and shares your personal data.

In addition to this Privacy Policy, we provide data and privacy information embedded in our products and certain features that ask to use your personal information. This product-specific information is accompanied by our Data & Privacy Icon.

#### N

You will be given an opportunity to review this product-specific information before enabling these features. You also can view this information at any time, either in Settings related to those features and/or online at apple.com/legal/privacy.

		1.01		
United		United States		
Kingdom		of America		
568	41	5,271	582	
Device	Financial Identifier	Device	Financial Identifier	
426	423	4,095	249	
Account	Emergency		Emergency	
View Report for United Kingdom >		View Report	View Report for United States of	

# **CyBOK**

#### Privacy as Control

#### Control

- If data can be used/shared
- What kind of access is granted
- What kind of processing can be done
- Consent forms

#### We value your privacy

Our site is supported by advertising and we and our partners use technology such as cookies on our site to personalize content and ads, provide social media features, and analyze our traffic. Click 'I Accept' below to consent to the use of this technology across the web. You can change your mind and change your consent choices at any time by returning to this site and clicking the Privacy Choices link.

By choosing I Accept below you are also helping to support our site and improve your browsing experience.

- Store and/or access information on a device
- Apply market research to generate audience insights
- Precise geolocation data, and identification through device scanning
- Personalised content
- Content measurement, and product development
- · Personalised ads, and ad measurement



We use cookies on our websites for a number of purposes, including analytics and performance, functionality and advertising. Learn more about Reddit's use of cookies.





## Limits of control and transparency

- No way to prevent (control) what is revealed
  - IP addresses on network traffic
- Explicit list of information (transparency) may not be possible to enumerate
  - Joining two or more sources of data together to form a more complete profile
    - Ad trackers
  - Unexpected correlated information in collection
    - Genomic data of family members

# **CyBOK**

## Privacy as confidentiality

- Hiding your information
  - Encryption
    - Secret key needed
  - Obfuscation
    - No cryptographic secrets
    - Know where to look and how to undo the obfuscation

# **CyBOK**

## Privacy Threat Landscape

• Data (at rest, in transit, or in processing)

- Posts, status updates, tweets, pictures, check-ins
- Genomic
- Location (check-ins, maps)

#### Meta-data

- Timing, message lengths, IP addresses
- Device/application fingerprints

# Data Privacy (confidentiality)

**Cryptography-based Access Control** 

- Protecting data during transit
- Protecting data during processing

- **Inference Control**
- Anonymization
- Generalization
- Suppression
- Perturbation

# **CyBOK**

# Pretty Good Privacy (PGP)

CyBCK

- It primarily protects the contents of emails
- Using public-key cryptography to provide:
  - Encryption of the message (confidentiality)
  - Digital signature on the message (authenticity)
- Alice and Bob each need:
  - Private decryption key
  - Public encryption key
  - Private signing key
  - Public verification key

# **CyBOK**

- To have a private conversation on the Internet, Alice and Bob just need to:
  - Swap their public key material (as securely as possible)
  - Then simply send encrypted messages with signatures back and forth
- They lived happily ever after (?)





### What if...

- The adversary records all of the encrypted communication between Alice and Bob (but can not yet read anything)
- Some time later, Bob's laptop is stolen or compromised
- Bob's private keys are now exposed!
  - decryption key ← *especially this one*
  - signing key



#### Key exposure

- Adversary can now
  - Decrypt all past messages (that were recorded between Alice to Bob)
  - Learn what was said by Alice
  - Alice is now identified as a sender of particular messages
  - Cryptographic evidence
    - mathematical proof since Alice's verification key verifies the signature on the messages she sent to Bob
  - Private conversations exposed after the fact



# Where is the source of the problem?

- Stolen or infected computers?
  - This problem seems hard to solve
    - You and everyone you talk to needs to never lose their laptop, click on a dubious link, install a trojan...
    - Not a good basis for trust
- PGP creates an evidence trail
  - The keys that can decrypt messages and verify signatures and provide nonrepudiation
  - In an environment where keys can be exposed, Alice has to be careful about what she says
  - We'll try to solve it by fixing this part



#### Perfect forward secrecy

- Key compromised in the future should not expose past messages
- Use short-lived session (or ephemeral) keys computed from long-term keys
  - Using Diffie-Hellman key exchange
  - Session key discarded (deleted) after use
- Long-term keys used only to authenticate the DHKE messages



## Diffie-Hellman key exchange





#### Deniable authentication

- Digital signatures provide *non-repudiation*, which is exactly what we do not want in this case
- Authentication is still necessary
  - Or the adversary could impersonate our friends
- We can use Message Authentication Codes (MACs) here

#### **CyBCK** MACs and deniable authentication protocol

- We can create a MAC with a *keyed* hash function (KHF)
  - H(key, message) = MAC
  - Without the key the correct MAC can not be computed
  - Anyone with the key can compute the correct MAC



If KHF(key, m) = Tthen *Alice* is the sender since only someone with the same *key* could produce the same *T* for this *m* 

# No 3<sup>rd</sup> party proof for off-the-record **CyBOK** communication

- The same *key* was agreed between Alice and Bob
- Only that *key* can produce that same MAC on that message
- Bob can not prove Alice sent the message
  - Anyone with the *key* can also forge a new message and correct MAC
- Alice has plausible deniability



#### Privacy during data processing

- We would like to keep our data private (not share) but also use it to do useful computations in collaboration with others
- Is that even *possible*?
  - Secure multi-party computation (aka MPC or SMC)
  - Homomorphic Encryption



#### Desirable properties

- No information about the *private inputs* is leaked
- Only the result of the computation is revealed
- What this does not mean:
  - If the function is *invertible* it can not prevent a party from learning something about the private input of other parties
  - That is not a break, it is up to the participants which functions they participate in executing
    - SMC(sum, {1,2,})=3, then any party can learn the sum without their own input



### Sharing Secrets

- We can split a secret up in such a way that
  - An individual piece does not reveal anything about the secret
  - All pieces are required to extract the secret, otherwise nothing is revealed
    - There are *threshold* variants where only *k-out-of-n* pieces are required
  - Using simple *modular arithmetic*







### Inference control

- Number-theoretic controls are restrictive on what can be done and learned
- They are inefficient for large number of participants and/or data
- More light-weight *obfuscation-based* inference control schemes can provide solutions where other schemes are impractical
  - Obfuscation is necessarily less secure, since it only *limits* the information leakage
  - A more *relaxed definition* of confidentiality



# Obfuscation-based inference control

- Data Anonymization
  - k-anonymity, l-diversity, and t-closeness among others
- Data Generalization
  - Reduce the precision or bucketize the values of attributes (columns in table)
- Data Suppression
  - Do not reveal part of the dataset (delete fields, possibly based on their value)
- Dummy data addition
  - Add dummy rows to reduce the accuracy of the adversary's inferences
- Perturb the data
  - Add noise to the data to reduce the adversary's inferences

# Formal approach to inference control

- The inference control techniques so far were ad-hoc and it is difficult to evaluate the level of privacy and utility that is achieved
- Differential privacy is a formal noise addition mechanism that provides worst-case privacy guarantees and utility trade-off
- Two variants
  - Local: noise is added before it is added to the database
  - Global: noise is added to the answer of the query and there is a DB request handler that mediates between the query requestor and the database
    - Contrast the case where the database is sanitized and then published publicly, as before



#### $\varepsilon$ -differential privacy

- Depends on the sensitivity s (the maximum difference one record makes to the query results)
- We want to add enough noise such that it is difficult\* to tell whether that record was present in the calculation of the output or not
- The noise addition is (ideally) realized with *Laplace distribution* 
  - More practically, other distributions are the *Normal distribution* (however then we get  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -differential privacy, a looser privacy bound)





#### Meta-data Privacy

- Information needed to perform critical functions
  - Routing a message
  - Fastest way from the pizza place to your house
- Often the consequence of insecure design
  - Security and Privacy not part of the initial design of the system
- Provides an avenue for unwanted information gathering









#### Some attacks on Tor

- End-to-end correlation attack
  - Timing attacks
- Selective denial of service
  - Path bias
- Website fingerprinting



#### Mix networks

- Reordering messages removes the timing information that an adversary can use to track a message
- Low-latency systems (like Tor) are susceptible to timing attacks
  - Especially from a global adversary
- Mixing well can remove this vector of attack
  - Cost of delay
  - The more messages to mix with the higher the anonymity set



#### Mixing types

- Timed Buffer messages for a set time interval and then send them all out.
- Threshold Buffer messages until a threshold number of messages is reached and then send them all out.
- Pool Buffer messages until there are at least a threshold number and then send out only a fraction of them every set time interval.
- Continuous each message is delay independently according to the delay chosen by the sender. Delays sampled from exponential distribution.



#### Expectation on delays

- Timed mixes provide a known message delay
  - But variable level of mixing
- Threshold mixes provide a known amount of mixing
  - But variable expected delay
- Pool mixes provide a lower bound on the amount of mixing
  - Delay is now an expectation based on the emission fraction
- Continuous mixes provide an expectation on both the mixing and delay
  - These depend on the sending rate of the client population and the delay parameter



#### Internet Censorship

- Governments, Corporations, Service Providers
- Prevent information flow
  - Prevent the **publication** of content
  - Prevent the **access** to content
  - Assumption: rational actor desiring net positive utility
    - Do not want to block the Internet as a matter of course unless it is more cost effective

# **CyBOK** Censorship Resistance Systems in a Nutshell



#### **CyBCK** Common Censorship Resistance Strategies

- No Single Point of Failure
  - Store multiple copies of content, add multiple access paths/points, spread over different jurisdictions, regions, and operators
- Collateral Damage
  - Hide censorship traffic amongst allowed traffic. Any interference with the censored traffic will also impact unrelated traffic. Used as a deterrent.
- Do Not Look Suspicious
  - Look different enough from not allowed usage or look exactly like allowed usage
- Be Untraceable
  - Do not present a target (e.g. hidden IP address)



#### Example – Tor Onion Services



# **CyBOK**

## Key take-aways

- Privacy can be seen through the lenses of Transparency, Control, and Confidentiality
- A layered approach is advisable using both societal and technological approaches
- Data and Meta-data privacy technologies are available to enable a large number of use cases, and are practical for use
  - More advanced in certain areas, like anonymous communications
- An active arms race with the adversary and defenders in constant struggle for supremacy